

1 motions for sanctions. (ECF No. 117.) The court construes plaintiff’s objections as a request for
2 reconsideration.

3 Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 303(f), a magistrate judge’s orders shall be upheld unless
4 “clearly erroneous or contrary to law.” Id. Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that it
5 does not appear that the magistrate judge’s ruling denying plaintiff’s motion for sanctions was
6 clearly erroneous or contrary to law.

7 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 8 1. The findings and recommendations filed October 12, 2021 are **ADOPTED** in full;
- 9 2. Defendants’ motion for terminating sanctions (ECF No. 109) is **DENIED** without
10 prejudice; and
- 11 3. Upon reconsideration, the order of the magistrate judge filed October 12, 2021,
12 denying plaintiff’s motion for sanctions, is **AFFIRMED**.

13
14 Dated: November 22, 2021

/s/ John A. Mendez

15 THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

17
18
19 Mc560.jo
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28