I

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	WILLIAM RAMIREZ, et al.,	No. 2:18-cv-00632-KJM-GGH
12	Plaintiffs,	ORDER
13	v.	
14	COUNTY OF EL DORADO, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17		
18	Plaintiffs appear in this action pro se and in forma pauperis. They filed a	
19	complaint on March 23, 2018, alleging claims under 42 U.S.C. sections 1983, 1995(3) and 1986	
20	and related state claims. ECF No. 1.	
21	On May 7, 2018, the magistrate judge issued an order with findings and	
22	recommendations, recommending that the claims against defendant Hoffman be dismissed	
23	without leave to amend as barred by the Rooker-Feldman doctrine and judicial immunity	
24	principles. ECF No. 4. The parties had twenty-one days to file written objections to the	
25	recommendations and were warned that failure to object could waive their rights to appeal this	
26	court's order. <i>Id.</i> at 4:16-22. No objections have been filed.	
27	/////	
28		
		1

1	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304,	
2	this court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having reviewed the file, the court	
3	ADOPTS the findings and recommendations.	
4	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
5	1. The claims against defendant Hoffman are dismissed without leave to	
6	amend; and	
7	2. This case is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for all further	
8	pretrial proceedings.	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	DATED: September 13, 2018.	
11	100 and 1	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	