1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	HOWARD SCOTT,	No. 2:18-cv-0635 TLN CKD P
11	Plaintiff,	
12	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
13	CALIFORNIA HEALTH CARE FACILITY,	
14	Defendant.	
15		
16	Plaintiff is a California prisoner proceeding pro se. On August 29, 2018, this action was	
17	stayed so that plaintiff could locate certain documents and retain counsel. Plaintiff now asks that	
18	the court appoint counsel. District courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent	
19	prisoners in section 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989).	
20	In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an attorney to voluntarily represent such a	
21	plaintiff. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991);	
22	Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). When determining whether	
23	"exceptional circumstances" exist, the court must consider plaintiff's likelihood of success on the	

merits as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. Palmer v. Valdez, 560 F.3d 965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009) (district court did not abuse discretion in declining to appoint counsel). The burden of demonstrating exceptional circumstances is on the plaintiff. Id. Circumstances common to most prisoners, such /////

24

25

26

27

28

1 as lack of legal education and limited law library access, do not establish exceptional 2 circumstances that warrant a request for voluntary assistance of counsel. 3 Having considered the factors under Palmer, the court finds that plaintiff has failed to 4 meet his burden of demonstrating exceptional circumstances warranting the appointment of 5 counsel at this time. 6 Alternatively, plaintiff asks that the stay imposed in this action remain in place another 7 120 days while plaintiff attempts to compose an amended complaint. Good cause appearing, that 8 request will be granted. 9 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 10 1. Plaintiff's request for the appointment of counsel (ECF No. 25) is denied. 11 2. Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time (ECF No. 25) is granted. 12 3. The stay imposed in this action remains in place. 4. If plaintiff is not ready to submit an amended complaint by July 1, 2020, plaintiff shall 13 14 file a status report. arch U. Dela 15 Dated: February 26, 2020 16 CAROLYN K. DELANEY UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 17 18 19 20 1/bh scot0635.31+36(2) 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28