1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SENARBLE CAMPBELL,	No. 2:18-cv-00671 KJM CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	J. TANTON, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief	
18	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided	
19	by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On August 7, 2018, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were	
21	served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and	
22	recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the	
23	findings and recommendations.	
24	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States,	
25	602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de	
26	novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having	
27	reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record	
28	and by the magistrate judge's analysis.	
		1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed August 7, 2018, are adopted in full.	
3	2. The Eighth Amendment excessive force claims against defendants J. Tanton, G. Ellin,	
4	L. Spangler, J. Leech, T. Stanfield, S. Manson, and J. Morton are dismissed for failure to state a	
5	claim.	
6	3. This case is proceeding only on the Eighth Amendment deliberate indifference claims	
7	against defendants F. Martin, Psychologist Kenton, and Psychiatric Technician Herrera.	
8	4. This case is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for all further pretrial	
9	proceedings.	
10	DATED: September 12, 2018.	
11	In A mulle	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	