	 Case No. 2:18-cv-00673-JAM-CKD	Joint Status Report
20		
27		
20 27		
25 26		
24		
23 24		
22	SHENA BATTEN, PAULA MCGOWAN, and RONELL FOSTER, SR.	
22	Attorneys for Plaintiffs R.F., through his guardian ad litem	
20	Telephone: (510) 839-5200 Facsimile: (510) 839-3882	
20	7677 Oakport St., Suite 1120 Oakland, CA 94621	
19	Airport Corporate Center	
18	PATRICK M. BUELNA, Esq., SBN 317043 LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS	
10	ADANTE D. POINTER, Esq., SBN 236229 LATEEF H. GRAY, Esq., SBN 250055	
16	JOHN L. BURRIS, Esq., SBN 69888	
14	SHASTA SKINNER	
13	Facsimile: (510) 452-5510 Attorneys for Plaintiff I.F., through her Next Friend,	
12	Telephone: (510) 452-5500	
11 12	505 Seventeenth Street Oakland, California 94612	
10	TERESA ALLEN (State Bar No. 264865) HADDAD & SHERWIN LLP	
9 10	MICHAEL J. HADDAD (State Bar No. 189114) JULIA SHERWIN (State Bar No. 189268)	
8		
7	Attorneys for Defendants, CITY OF VALLEJO, RYAN McMAHON	
6	Fax: (707) 648-4687 Email: timothy.smyth@cityofvallejo.net	
5	Vallejo, CA 94590 Tel: (707) 648-4545	
4	555 Santa Clara Street	
3	Deputy City Attorney, SBN 258661 <b>CITY OF VALLEJO</b> , City Hall	
2	Interim City Attorney, SBN 172552 BY: TIMOTHY R. SMYTH	
1	RANDY RISNER	

1					
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
3	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SACRAMENTO DIVISION				
4	LE hu and through han Neut Eriand				
5	I.F., by and through her Next Friend, SHASTA SKINNER, individually and as				
6	successor-in-interest to Decedent RONELL FOSTER; R.F., by and through his guardian				
7	ad litem SHENA BATTEN, individually and				
8	as successor-in-interest to Decedent RONELL FOSTER; PAULA McGOWAN,				
9	individually; and RONELL FOSTER, SR.				
10	Plaintiffs,				
11	VS.				
12	CITY OF VALLEJO; a municipal				
13	corporation; RYAN McMAHON, individually				
14	as a Police Officer for the CITY OF VALLEJO; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,				
15	jointly and severally,				
16	Defendants.				
17	On October 9, 2019, this Court entered an order staying discovery of this case				
18					
19	as to Defendant Officer Ryan McMahon while the District Attorney for the County of				
20	Solano investigated the underlying case to determine whether to bring criminal				
21	charges. This investigation was completed as of Friday, January 31, 2020, with the				
22	District Attorney deciding not to prosecute Defendant Officer McMahon.				
23	Pursuant to this Court's order, counsel for the City notified all parties on Monday,				
24	February 3, 2020, regarding the District Attorney's decision. As such, the Plaintiffs now				
25	seek to depose Officer McMahon, which is currently set for February 27, 2020.				
26	Given the above, the parties respectfully request that, subject to the Court's				
27	calendar, the Court modify the case management and trial dates for this matter as				
28	follows:				

1				
<u>C</u>	ase	<u>Event</u>	Current Date	Proposed Date
	1.	Expert disclosures	March 4, 2020	April 8, 2020
	2.	Rebuttal expert disclosures	March 25, 2020	April 22, 2020
	3.	Joint Statement summarizing all law and motion	April 20, 2020	May 11, 2020
	4.	Close of discovery	May 27, 2020	June 1, 2020
	5.	Filing of Dispositive Motions	June 30, 2020	UNCHANGED
	6.	Hearing on dispositive motions	July 28, 2020	UNCHANGED
	7.	Pretrial conference	October 16, 2020	UNCHANGED
	8.	Trial	December 7, 2020	UNCHANGED
Goo	od C	ause Regarding the Above	Request:	
Discovery as to Defendant McMahon was stayed until January 31, 2020 – the				
date of the DA's investigation being concluded. Defendant McMahon's deposition				
testi	imor	ny is integral to the case, and t	the experts for all parties s	shall need his testimony
to d	evel	op their respective opinions.	Defendant McMahon's de	position is now set for
February 27, 2020, and under the current timelines for the case, there is not enough				
time for Defendant McMahon's testimony to be transcribed with copies then provided to				
<b></b>	o #10	for review and to develop anir	iona The newline cares t	hat good cause aviate

21 experts for review and to develop opinions. The parties agree that good cause exists

22 to extend the deadlines as outlined above so as to be able to complete the necessary

23 discovery in this action. These changes will not affect deadlines for dispositive motions

- $^{24}$  or the pretrial conference and trial dates.
- DATED: February 7, 2020
  Respectfully submitted,
  */s/ Timothy R. Smyth* TIMOTHY R. SMYTH
  Deputy City Attorney
  Attorney for Defendants

DATED:	February 7, 2020	<u>/s/ Adante Pointer</u> Adante Pointer Attorneys for Plaintiffs, R.F., Paula McGowan, and Ronell Foster, Sr. Law Offices of John Burris		
DATED:	February 7, 2020	<u>/s/ Michael Haddad</u> Michael Haddad Attorneys for Plaintiff, I.F. Haddad & Sherwin LLP		
	ORDER			
	Based on the parties' stipulation, and with good cause appearing therefore,			
	REBY ORDERED:	too are continued as sufficient in the chart show		
	le case management and that da	tes are continued as outlined in the chart above		
DATED:	2/10/2020	/s/ John A. Mendez		
		Hon. John A. Mendez U. S. District Court Judge		