1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 LEANDRO LEONEL GONZALEZ No. 2:18-cv-0767-KJM-EFB P CASTILLO, 12 Plaintiff, 13 **ORDER** v. 14 E. OCHOA, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief 19 under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided 20 by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 21 On May 4, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were 22 served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and 23 recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the 24 findings and recommendations. 25 The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 26 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed 27 de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law 28 by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court 1

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

...."). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed May 4, 2020, are adopted in full;
- 2. Defendants Parks and Orozco, and any claims plaintiff might be attempting to raise implicitly against them, are DISMISSED; and
- 3. This matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge to initiate service of process of the Eighth Amendment claim against defendant Ochoa using the court's E-Service pilot program for civil rights cases for the Eastern District of California.

DATED: July 10, 2020.

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE