counsel, hereby stipulate that a stay is necessary in the above-entitled action and request that the Court enter an order staying all further proceedings until the resolution of the related criminal cases, <u>United States v. Leonard Yang, et al.</u>, Case 2:16-CR-00189-KJM and <u>United States v. Xiu Ping Li, et al.</u>, Case No. 2:17-CR-00136-KJM, and ongoing criminal investigation into marijuana grows at the defendant properties.

- 1. This is a forfeiture *in rem* action against three properties pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(A) and 981(a)(1)(C) and 21 U.S.C. §§ 881(a)(6) and 881(a)(7) because they were allegedly used to commit or facilitate violations of federal drug and money laundering laws:
 - a. Real Property located at 7661 Fey Way, Elk Grove, California, the "*Defendant Fey Way*." Rihui Zheng has filed a claim asserting an ownership interest in defendant Fey Way. PS Funding, Inc. filed a claim asserting a lienholder interest in defendant Fey Way.
 - b. Real Property located at 9268 Trout Way, Elk Grove, California, the "*Defendant Trout Way*." Dennis Guy Wentz and Laura Jane Wentz filed a claim asserting a lienholder interest in defendant Trout Way. No other party has filed a claim asserting an interest in defendant Trout Way.
 - c. Real Property located at 9185 Grant Line Road, Elk Grove, California, the "*Defendant Grant Line Road*". Yu Ting Zheng has filed a claim asserting an ownership interest in defendant Grant Line Road. Kenneth A. Forman and Yehuda Ashouri filed a claim asserting a lienholder interest in defendant Grant Line Road.
- 3. The stay is requested pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(g)(1), 981(g)(2), and 21 U.S.C. § 881(i). The United States contends that the defendant properties were used and intended to be used to commit or facilitate violations of federal drug laws in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841 *et seq*. Claimants deny these allegations.
- 4. To date, several individuals have been charged with federal crimes related to marijuana manufacturing and distribution in two related cases, <u>United States v. Leonard Yang</u>, et al., Case 2:16-CR-00189-KJM and <u>United States v. Xiu Ping Li</u>, et al., Case No. 2:17-CR-00136-KJM. It is the United States' position that the statute of limitations has not expired on potential criminal charges relating to the drug trafficking involving the defendant properties. Nevertheless, the United States intends to depose claimants (and others) regarding their ownership of the defendant properties, as well as their knowledge and participation in large scale marijuana cultivation, including the marijuana grow at the defendant

properties, as well as the circumstances behind the purchase of the properties. If discovery proceeds at this time, claimants will be placed in the difficult position of either invoking their Fifth Amendment rights against self-incrimination and losing the ability to pursue their claims to the defendant properties, or waiving their Fifth Amendment rights and submitting to a deposition and potentially incriminating themselves. If they invoke their Fifth Amendment rights, the United States will be deprived of the ability to explore the factual basis for the claims they filed with this court.

- 5. In addition, claimants intend to depose, among others, the agents involved with this investigation, including but not limited to, the agents with the Drug Enforcement Administration and the Internal Revenue Service Criminal Investigation. Allowing depositions of the law enforcement officers at this time would adversely impact the federal prosecution and ongoing investigation.
- 6. The parties recognize that proceeding with these actions at this time has potential adverse effects on the investigation of the underlying criminal conduct and/or upon the claimant's ability to assert any defenses to forfeiture. For these reasons, the parties jointly request that these matters be stayed until the conclusion of the related criminal cases. At that time the parties will advise the court of the status of the criminal investigation, if any, and will advise the court whether a further stay is necessary.
- 7. If any of the defendant properties go into default, the parties reserve the right to seek all avenues of redress to preserve the real properties, including filing a motion for interlocutory sale or seeking a receiver appointment to collect rents and maintain the properties.

Dated: 7/27/2018 McGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney

By: /s/ Kevin C. Khasigian
KEVIN C. KHASIĞIAN
Assistant U.S. Attorney

Dated: 7/31/18 /s/ Eric R. Olah ERIC R. OLAH

Attorney for Claimant PS Funding, Inc. (Authorized by email)

Dated: 7/30/18 /s/ Dennis Doss
DENNIS DOSS

Attorney for Claimants Dennis Guy Wentz and Laura Jane Wentz (Authorized by email)

1	
2	Dated: 8/1/18 // Larissa A. Branes LARISSA A. BRANES
3	Attorney for Claimants Kenneth A. Forman and Yehuda Ashouri
4	(Authorized by email)
5	
6	Dated: 7/31/18 //s/ Linda M. Parisi LINDA M. PARISI
7 8	Attorney for Claimants Rihui Zheng and Yu Ting Zheng
9	(Authorized by email)
10	
11	ORDER
12	For the reasons set forth above, this matter is stayed under 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(g)(1), 981(g)(2) and
13	21 U.S.C. § 881(i) until the resolution of companion criminal cases. The parties shall file a joint status
14	report within 30 days of the conclusion of the parallel criminal cases, or as the court deems appropriate.
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.
16	DATED: August 6, 2018.
17	MA Mulle
18 19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	