

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAMUEL ARCHULETA,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
MARTIN DEL CAMPO, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 2: 18-cv-0872 KJN P

ORDER and FINDINGS &  
RECOMMENDATIONS

By order filed April 13, 2018, plaintiff’s complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. On April 17, 2018, the April 13, 2018 order was re-served on plaintiff at his new address. Thirty days from the date of re-service have now passed, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, or otherwise responded to the court’s order.


Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk shall assign a district judge to this case; and

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned

1 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that  
2  
3 failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District  
4 Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 Dated: June 6, 2018

6   
7 \_\_\_\_\_  
KENDALL J. NEWMAN  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8  
9 Arch872.fta

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28