

1 LEGAL SERVICES OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA  
 Laurance Lee, State Bar No. 301482  
 2 Elise Stokes, State Bar No. 288211  
 Sarah Ropelato, State Bar No. 254848  
 3 515 12th Street  
 4 Sacramento, CA 95814  
 Telephone: (916) 551-2150  
 5 Facsimile: (916) 551-2196  
 E-mail: [llee@lsnc.net](mailto:llee@lsnc.net)

7 ACLU FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA, INC.  
 Abre' L. Conner State Bar No. 306024  
 8 Alan Schlosser, State Bar No. 049957  
 William S. Freeman, State Bar No. 82002  
 9 39 Drumm Street  
 10 San Francisco, CA 94111  
 Telephone: (415) 621-2493  
 11 Facsimile: (415) 255-8437  
 Email: [aconner@aclunc.org](mailto:aconner@aclunc.org)

13 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

17 SACRAMENTO DIVISION

20 SACRAMENTO REGIONAL COALITION  
 TO END HOMELESSNESS, JAMES LEE  
 21 CLARK, AND SACRAMENTO  
 22 HOMELESS ORGANIZING COMMITTEE,

23 Plaintiffs,

24 v.

25 CITY OF SACRAMENTO,

26 Defendants.

Case No.: 2:18-CV-00878-MCE-AC

**STIPULATION AND ORDER  
 EXTENDING TIME TO SUBMIT  
 DISCOVERY PLAN**

Judge: Hon. Morrison England Jr.  
 Action Filed: April 10, 2018

1 **RECITALS**

2  
3       Whereas, Plaintiffs Sacramento Regional Coalition to End Homelessness  
4 (“SRCEH”), James Lee Clark, and Sacramento Homeless Organizing Committee  
5 (“SHOC”) (collectively “Plaintiffs”) filed a Complaint against the City of Sacramento  
6 (“City,” and together with Plaintiffs, “the Parties”), on April 10, 2018; and

7       Whereas, Plaintiffs filed a Notice of Motion for Preliminary Injunction (“P.I.  
8 Motion”) on April 27, 2018, and the City and Plaintiffs have filed their opposition and  
9 reply memoranda in connection with the P.I. Motion, respectively; and

10       Whereas, this Court has set a hearing regarding the P.I. Motion to take place on  
11 June 28, 2018; and

12       Whereas, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and this Court’s Initial Pretrial  
13 Scheduling Order dated April 11, 2018 (Dkt. 3), the Parties were required to meet and  
14 confer regarding a proposed discovery plan by June 11, 2018, and are required to  
15 submit a discovery plan to the Court by June 25, 2018, which is prior to the hearing  
16 regarding the P.I. Motion; and

17       Whereas, the Parties anticipate that their discovery needs may be substantially  
18 affected by any order issued by the Court on the P.I. Motion, such that it would  
19 promote efficiency for the Parties to meet and confer regarding discovery only after  
20 receiving such an order; and

21       Whereas, the Plaintiffs and Defendants met and conferred regarding a proposed  
22 discovery plan, as required, on June 11, 2018, and agreed to request that the Court  
23 extend the time for submitting a discovery plan until 21 days after issuance of an order  
24 by the Court regarding the P.I. Motion.

25 //  
26 //  
27 //  
28 //

1 **STIPULATION**

2 Therefore, the Parties stipulate and agree, and request that the Court order, as  
3 follows:

- 4 1. The time for the Parties to submit their discovery plan pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.  
5 26(f) and this Court’s Initial Pretrial Scheduling Order shall be 21 days after  
6 issuance by the Court of an order regarding the P.I. Motion.  
7

8 Dated: June 18, 2018

9 By: /s/ Abre’ Conner  
Abre’ Conner  
Attorney for Plaintiff

10  
11  
12 By: /s/ Sean Richmond  
Sean Richmond  
Attorney for Defendant  
13  
14

15 **ORDER**

16  
17 Upon the stipulation of the Parties, and good cause appearing, IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 Dated: June 19, 2018

19   
20 MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.  
21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28