1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 CHRISTIAN REYES, No. 2:18-cv-0883 JAM DB PS 12 Plaintiff. 13 **ORDER** v. 14 CITY OF FAIRFIELD, 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff Christian Reyes is proceeding in this action pro se. Accordingly, on December 18 11, 2019, this matter was referred to the undersigned in accordance with Local Rule 302(c)(21) 19 and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). (ECF No. 38.) 20 Good cause appearing, IT IS ORDERED that: 21 1. A Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference is set for **Friday**, **January 31**, **2020**, at 22 10:00 a.m. at the United States District Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California, in Courtroom 23 No. 27 before the undersigned. 24 2. All parties are required to appear at the Status Conference, either by counsel or, if 25 proceeding in propria persona, on his or her own behalf. Any party may appear at the status 26 conference telephonically if the party pre-arranges such appearance by contacting Pete Buzo, the 27 courtroom deputy of the undersigned magistrate judge, at (916) 930-4128, no later than 48 hours //// 28 1

1	before the Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference; a party may not appear telephonically over a
2	cellphone.
3	3. Plaintiff shall file and serve a status report on or before January 17, 2020 , and
4	defendants shall file and serve a status report on or before January 24, 2020. Each party's status
5	report shall address all of the following matters:
6	a. Progress of service of process;
7	b. Possible joinder of additional parties;
8	c. Possible amendment of the pleadings;
9	d. Jurisdiction and venue;
10	e. Anticipated motions and the scheduling thereof;
11 12	f. Anticipated discovery and the scheduling thereof, including disclosure of expert witnesses;
13	g. Future proceedings, including the setting of appropriate cut-off dates for discovery and for law and motion, and the scheduling of a final pretrial conference and trial;
1415	h. Modification of standard pretrial procedures specified by the rules due to the relative simplicity or complexity of the action;
16 17	 Whether the case is related to any other case, including matters in bankruptcy;
18	j. Whether the parties will stipulate to the magistrate judge assigned to this matter acting as settlement judge, waiving any disqualification by virtue of her so acting, or whether they prefer to
19	have a Settlement Conference before another magistrate judge;
20	k. Whether the parties intend to consent to proceed before a United States Magistrate Judge; and
21 22	 Any other matters that may aid in the just and expeditious disposition of this action.
23	4. The parties are cautioned that failure to file a status report or failure to appear at the
24	status conference may result in an order imposing an appropriate sanction. See Local Rules 110
25	and 183.
26	DATED: December 27, 2019 /s/ DEBORAH BARNES
27	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28	