1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	BARRY SHELDON WARD, JR.,	No. 2:18-cv-0931 DB P	
12	Petitioner,		
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>	
14	J. SALAZAR, <sup>1</sup>		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Petitioner, a federal prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed an		
18	application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 2241. The matter was referred to a		
19	United States Magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).		
20	On July 6, 2018, the court directed respondent to file a response to the petition. (ECF No.		
21	8). On August 30, 2018, respondent filed a motion for an extension of time, which the court		
22	granted the next day. (ECF Nos. 11, 12). On September 11, 2018, petitioner's service copy of		
23	1 On November 5, 2018, counsel for respondent filed a court ordered response to the activity		
24	<sup>1</sup> On November 5, 2018, counsel for respondent filed a court-ordered response to the petition. (See ECF No. 13). The response informed the court that the warden at FCI Herlong where petitioner is housed is Paul Thompson, not J. Salazar. (See id. at 1, n.1). This being the case, Warden Thompson is the proper respondent in this matter. Consequently, the court will direct the Clerk of Court to change the case caption to reflect this. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 25(d); see also <u>Rumsfeld v. Padilla</u> , 542 U.S. 426, 447 (2004) ("Whenever a § 2241 habeas petitioner seeks to challenge his present physical custody within the United States, he should name his warden as respondent and file the petition in the district of confinement."). All future pleadings filed in this		
25			
26			
27			
28	court shall reflect this change.	si commentente. J. An ruture preadings med in uns	
		1	

1	the court's order granting the extension of time was returned to the court as undeliverable. On	
2	November 5, 2018, respondent filed a response and a motion to dismiss. (ECF No. 13).	
3	The court takes note of the fact that to date, petitioner has not filed a change of address	
4	with the court as required under Local Rule 183(b). Nevertheless, the court will order plaintiff to	
5	file a traverse to respondent's response within thirty days of the date of this order. Petitioner will	
6	also be directed to file a change of address in this court during this period. Should petitioner fail	
7	to file a traverse within thirty days or should the instant order be returned as undeliverable, the	
8	court will recommend that this matter be dismissed for failure to prosecute.	
9	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
10	1. Within thirty days of the date of this order, petitioner shall file a traverse to	
11	respondent's response and motion to dismiss (ECF No. 13);	
12	2. Within thirty days of the date of this order, petitioner shall file a separate change of	
13	address pleading in this matter which provides the court with his current address, and	
14	3. The Clerk of Court shall change the case caption to reflect that Paul Thompson, the	
15	current warden of FCI Herlong, is the respondent in this matter.	
16	Dated: November 26, 2018	
17	Vuant	
18	( Clarker )	
19	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
20	DLB:13 DB/ORDERS/ORDERS.PRISONER.HABEAS/ward0931.2241.trav	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	