1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	JON HUMES,	No. 2:18-cv-0946 CKD P	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	ORDER AND	
14	SACRAMENTO COUNTY,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	By order filed June 28, 2018, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to		
18	file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has		
19	not filed an amended complaint.		
20	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court assign a district		
21	court judge to this case; and		
22	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See		
23	Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).		
24	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge		
25	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen after		
26	being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with		
27	the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and		
28	Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time		
		1	

1	waives the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th	Cir.
2	1991).	
3	Dated: August 14, 2018  Carop 11. Delany	
4	CAROLYN K. DELANEY	
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDG	Е
6		
7		
8	1	
9	hume0946.fta	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		