UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AARON LAMONT STRIBLING,
Plaintiff,
v.
J. LEWIS,

Defendant.

No. 2:18-cv-0951-KJM-EFB P

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On May 3, 2018, the court issued findings and recommendations finding that the dismissal of *Stribling v. Defazio*, No. 2:12-cv-2729-JAM-EFB (E.D. Cal.) ("*Defazio*") qualified as a strike for purposes of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) and recommending that plaintiff's application for leave to proceed in forma pauperis be denied. ECF No. 6. Plaintiff did not file timely objections to that recommendation. On June 14, 2018, the district judge adopted the findings and recommendations and subsequently referred the case to the undersigned for further litigation. ECF Nos. 7, 8. On that same day plaintiff belatedly filed objections to the findings and recommendations. ECF No. 9. The court construes his belated objections as a motion for reconsideration of the June 14, 2018 order denying plaintiff's application for leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and now recommends that it be granted.¹

¹ "Reconsideration is appropriate if the district court (1) is presented with newly discovered evidence, (2) committed clear error or the initial decision was manifestly unjust, or (3)

assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections

24 /////

25

26

27

28

20

21

22

23

if there is an intervening change in controlling law." *School Dist. No. 1J v. AC and S, Inc.*, 5 F.3d 1255, 1263 (9th Cir. 1993). Further, Local Rule 230(j) requires that a motion for reconsideration state "what new or different facts or circumstances are claimed to exist which did not exist or were not shown upon such prior motion, or what other grounds exist for the motion," and "why the facts or circumstances were not shown at the time of the prior motion." E.D. Cal., Local Rule 230(j)(3)-(4).

1	within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. <i>Turner v</i> .
2	Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
3	DATED: October 11, 2018.
4	Elming F-Bieman
5	EĎMUND F. BRĚNNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	