I

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SANDRA C. SAMUELIAN,	No. 2:18-cv-995-JAM-EFB PS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	U.S. TREASURY, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, proceeding in pro se, has requested leave to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant	
18	to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. ¹ ECF No. 2. Plaintiff's affidavit, submitted in support of her application as	
19	required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1), demonstrates that she is not eligible for <i>in forma pauperis</i>	
20	status. Id.	
21	Pursuant to federal statute, a filing fee of \$350.00 is required to commence a civil action	
22	in federal district court. 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a). This court also requires a \$50 administrative fee.	
23	The court may authorize the commencement of an action without prepayment of fees and costs or	
24	security therefor, by a person who submits an affidavit showing that he is unable to pay such	
25	costs or give security therefor. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1). An in forma pauperis applicant must	
26	demonstrate that because of her poverty, she cannot meet court costs and still provide herself and	
27	¹ This case, in which plaintiff is proceeding pro se, is before the undersigned pursuant to	
28	Eastern District of California Local Rule 302(c)(21). See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).	
		1

her dependents with the necessities of life. *Martinez v. Kristi Kleaners, Inc.*, 364 F.3d 1305, 1307
(11th Cir. 2004) (affidavit is sufficient if it represents that the litigant is "unable to pay for the
court fees and costs, and to provide necessities for himself and his dependents") (citing *Adkins v. E.I. Du Pont de Nemours & Co.*, 335 U.S. 331, 339-40 (1948)); *see also Sears, Roebuck & Co. v. Charles W. Sears Real Estate, Inc.*, 865 F.2d 22, 23 (2d Cir. 1988) (denying *in forma pauperis*status where applicant had a net income of approximately \$20,000).

Plaintiff's affidavit indicates that she has \$894,000 in checking and savings accounts.
ECF No. 2 at 2. She further states that she has no dependents relying on her for support. *Id.*Based on those averments, the court cannot find that plaintiff has demonstrated that she has
insufficient assets to pay the filing fee and costs and provide the necessities of life to herself and
her dependents.

Accordingly, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis be* denied, and that plaintiff be given thirty days in which to pay the filing fee of
\$400.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days
after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections
within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DATED: July 2, 2018.

bilma

EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2