

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KAMLESH BANGA,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMERIPRISE AUTO & HOME
INSURANCE AGENCY, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:18-cv-01072 MCE AC PS

ORDER

Plaintiff is proceeding pro se, and the action was accordingly referred to the undersigned by Local Rule 302(c)(21). There has been substantial motion practice on the issue of the proper corporate name of defendant Ameriprise Auto & Home Insurance Agency, Inc. Plaintiff filed a proposed Third Amended Complaint (“TAC”) naming Ameriprise Auto & Home Insurance Agency, Inc. and IDS Property Casualty Insurance Company (“IDS”) as defendants but referring in the body of the complaint to “Ameriprise Auto & Home Insurance.” ECF No. 62-5 at 1. Defendants filed a limited opposition to the TAC, stating they did not oppose it substantively, but objecting to the reference to “Ameriprise Auto & Home Insurance” because it is only a brand marketing name, not an actual corporate name. ECF No. 66 at 2. Plaintiff submitted a Revised Proposed Third Amended Complaint (“RTAC”) to correct the name. ECF No. 67-3.

///

