1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	TRAVIS RUTLEDGE,	No. 2:18-cv-1091-EFB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	LASSEN COUNTY JAIL, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a county inmate proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42	
18	U.S.C. § 1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28	
19	U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).	
20	On July 18, 2018, the court screened plaintiff's complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A.	
21	The court dismissed the amended complaint, explained the deficiencies therein and granted	
22	plaintiff thirty days in which file an amended complaint to cure the deficiencies. ECF No. 9.	
23	The order warned plaintiff that failure to comply would result a recommendation that this action	
24	be dismissed. The time for acting has passed and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, or	
25	otherwise responded to the court's order.	
26	A party's failure to comply with any order or with the Local Rules "may be grounds for	
27	imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the	
28	inherent power of the Court." E.D. Cal. Local Rule 110. The court may dismiss an action with or	
28	inherent power of the Court." E.D. Cal. Local Rule 110. The court may dismiss an action with or 1	

1	without prejudice, as appropriate, if a party disobeys an order or the Local Rules. See Ferdik v.	
2	Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1263 (9th Cir. 1992) (district court did not abuse discretion in	
3	dismissing pro se plaintiff's complaint for failing to obey an order to re-file an amended	
4	complaint to comply with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439,	
5	1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for pro se plaintiff's failure to comply with local rule	
6	regarding notice of change of address affirmed).	
7	Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that the Clerk is directed to randomly assign a	
8	United States District Judge to this case.	
9	Further, it is RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED without prejudice. Fed.	
10	R. Civ. P. 41(b); E. D. Cal. Local Rule 110.	
11	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
12	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
13	after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written	
14	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned	
15	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the	
16	objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The	
17	parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to	
18	appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez	
19	v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
20	Dated: October 2, 2018.	
21	EDMUND F. BRENNAN	
22	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	