

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

DONALD CANFIELD,

Plaintiff,

v.

NARINDER SAUKHLA, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:18-CV-1092-KJM-DMC-P

ORDER

Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Pending before the Court is Plaintiff’s motion to compel, ECF No. 43.

Plaintiff’s motion is defective. Plaintiff seeks to compel further responses to requests for production. While Plaintiff states that the discovery requests at issue are attached to his motion as Exhibit 1, there is no Exhibit 1 accompanying Plaintiff’s motion. Moreover, Plaintiff has not provided the Court with a copy of Defendants’ allegedly deficient responses. Absent a copy of the discovery and responses at issue, it is impossible for the Court to evaluate Plaintiff’s motion, which is denied without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 29, 2021



DENNIS M. COTA
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE