

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DONALD CANFIELD,  
  
  Plaintiff,  
  
                        v.  
  
NARINDER SAUKHLA,  
  
  Defendant.

No. 2:18-CV-1092-KJM-DMC-P

ORDER

Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 181983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided by Eastern District of California local rules.

On August 1, 2022, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations, which were served on the parties and which contained notice that the parties may file objections within the time specified therein. No objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed.

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court . . .”). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations filed August 1, 2022, are adopted in full;
2. Defendant's unopposed motion for summary judgment, ECF No. 49, is granted; and
3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to issue final judgment and close this file.

This order resolves ECF No. 57.

DATED: December 15, 2022.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE