1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOHNELL JACKSON,	No. 2:18-cv-1132 MCE CKD P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	JALAL SOLTANIAN ZADEH,	
15	Defendants.	
16		ı
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
18	Plaintiff requests that the court appoint counsel. District courts lack authority to require counsel	
19	to represent indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. <u>Mallard v. United States Dist. Court</u> , 490	
20	U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an attorney to	
21	voluntarily represent such a plaintiff. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). <u>Terrell v. Brewer</u> , 935 F.2d	
22	1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990).	
23	When determining whether "exceptional circumstances" exist, the court must consider plaintiff's	
24	likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro	
25	se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. <u>Palmer v. Valdez</u> , 560 F.3d 965, 970	

26 (9th Cir. 2009) (district court did not abuse discretion in declining to appoint counsel). The 27 burden of demonstrating exceptional circumstances is on the plaintiff. <u>Id.</u> Circumstances

28 /////

common to most prisoners, such as lack of legal education and limited law library access, do not establish exceptional circumstances that warrant a request for voluntary assistance of counsel. Having considered the factors under <u>Palmer</u>, the court finds that plaintiff has failed to meet his burden of demonstrating exceptional circumstances warranting the appointment of counsel at this time. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel (ECF No. 47) is denied without prejudice. Dated: April 29, 2020 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE jack1132.31