UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
ALEXANDER BODDIE,	No. 2:18-cv-1196 AC P
Plaintiff,	
v.	ORDER
MICHAEL MARTEL, et al.,	
Defendants.	
Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, has filed this civil	
rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to this court	
pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
On March 9, 2020, the court directed plaintiff to file an amended complaint within thirty	
days. (ECF No. 9). More than thirty days have passed, and plaintiff has neither complied with	
the court's order, nor communicated with the co	ourt in any way. As a result, within thirty days of
the date of this order, plaintiff shall show cause	why this action should not be dismissed for
failure to prosecute and for failure to obey a co	urt order. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); see also L.R.
110.	
////	
////	
////	
	1
	FOR THE EASTERN D ALEXANDER BODDIE, Plaintiff, v. MICHAEL MARTEL, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Locat On March 9, 2020, the court directed pl days. (ECF No. 9). More than thirty days have the court's order, nor communicated with the co the date of this order, plaintiff shall show cause failure to prosecute and for failure to obey a co 110. //// ////

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within thirty days of the date of this order,
2	plaintiff shall inform the court as to why this matter should not be dismissed for failure to
3	prosecute and for failure to obey a court order.
4	Plaintiff is warned that failure to respond to this order within the time specified may result
5	in a recommendation that this action be dismissed.
6	DATED: April 27, 2020
7	auson Clane
8	ALLISON CLAIRE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2