1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JEFFREY R RHOADES,	No. 2:18-cv-1264-JAM-KJN
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ON EAJA FINDINGS AND
13	v.	RECOMMENDATIONS
14	COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL	(ECF Nos. 25, 29.)
15	SECURITY,	
16	Defendant.	
17	On October 7, 2020 the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations (ECF No.	
18	29), which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the	
19	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen (14) days. No objections were	
20	filed.	
21	Accordingly, the court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United	
22	States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are	
23	reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir.	
24	1983).	
25	The court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing,	
26	concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the findings and recommendations in full. Accordingly,	
27	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
28	1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 29) are ADOPTED IN FULL;	
		1

1	2. Plaintiff is awarded \$6,900.0	0 in fees under the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C.
2	§ 2412(d) (see ECF No. 25).	
3		
4		
5	DATED: November 10, 2020	/s/ John A. Mendez THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ
6		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
20 27		
27		
20		2