

1 Should the court determine that this action is appropriate for referral to the Prisoner
2 Settlement Program, it will set this matter for settlement conference before a magistrate judge or
3 district judge. If not, the court will direct the parties to file pretrial statements.

4 Further, the court declines to interfere with the day-to-day operation of the prison where
5 plaintiff is confined; therefore, plaintiff's motion for "mandatory phone access" (ECF No. 48) is
6 denied. *See Turner v. Safley*, 482 U.S. 78, 84-86 (1987); *Wright v. Rushen*, 642 F.2d 1129, 1132
7 (9th Cir. 1981) (courts should avoid enmeshing themselves in minutiae of prison operations in
8 name of constitution).

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 DATED: March 5, 2020.


EDMUND F. BRENNAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

cc: ADR Division, Attention: Sujean Park
 US District Court
 501 I Street, Suite 4-200
 Sacramento, CA 95814
 Fax: (916) 491-3912
 email: spark@caed.uscourts.gov