1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN I	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10			
11	WILLIAM ROUSER,	No. 2:18-cv-1358-EFB P	
12	Petitioner,		
13	V.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND	
14	UNKNOWN,	RECOMMENDATIONS	
15	Respondent.		
16			
17	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel on a petition for a writ of habeas		
18	corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. <sup>1</sup> He challenges the results of a rules violation report for		
19	possession of a weapon, claiming the proceeding	ngs failed to satisfy due process requirements. See	
20	ECF No. 1. The determination of guilt resulted in a loss of credits for petitioner. Id. at 69-72.		
21	Under Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, the court is required to conduct		
22	a preliminary review of all petitions for writ of habeas corpus filed by state prisoners. The court		
23	must summarily dismiss a petition if it "plainly	y appears that the petitioner is not entitled to	
24	relief " The court has conducted the revie	w required under Rule 4 and concludes that	
25	summary dismissal of the petition is required.		
26			
27	<sup>1</sup> Petitioner also seeks leave to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).		
28	ECF No. 7. Examination of the in forma pauperis affidavit reveals that petitioner is unable to afford the costs of suit. His request for leave to proceed in forma pauperis is granted.		

1	A prisoner's claim which, if successful, would not necessarily lead to immediate or
2	speedier release falls outside the "core of habeas corpus" and must be pursued in an action
3	brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Nettles v. Grounds, 830 F.3d 922 (9th Cir. 2016). In this
4	case, restoration of petitioner's lost credits would not guarantee petitioner's earlier release from
5	prison, as he is serving an indeterminate life sentence. See Rouser v. California, No. 2:10-cv-
6	2437-MCE-CKD (E.D. Cal.), ECF No. 20 at 2 ("On November 17, 1995, petitioner was
7	sentenced to an indeterminate term of fifty-seven years to life"). <sup>2</sup> Petitioner's claims, therefore,
8	do not fall within the "core of habeas corpus." As there is no basis for finding habeas jurisdiction
9	over petitioner's due process claims, the petition must be dismissed.
10	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
11	1. Petitioner's application for leave to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 7) is granted;
12	and
13	2. The Clerk of the Court shall randomly assign a United States District Judge to this
14	action.
15	Further, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that petitioner's application for writ of
16	habeas corpus be summarily dismissed without prejudice to filing a new action pursuant to 42
17	U.S.C. § 1983.
18	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
19	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days
20	after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
21	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
22	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections
23	shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. Failure to file
24	objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.
25	Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir.
26	1991). In his objections petitioner may address whether a certificate of appealability should issue
27 28	<sup>2</sup> A court may take judicial notice of court records. <i>See MGIC Indem. Co. v. Weisman</i> , 803 F.2d 500, 505 (9th Cir. 1986); <i>United States v. Wilson</i> , 631 F.2d 118, 119 (9th Cir. 1980). 2

1	in the event he files an appeal of the judgment in this case. See Rule 11, Rules Governing Section
2	2254 Cases (the district court must issue or deny a certificate of appealability when it enters a
3	final order adverse to the applicant).
4	DATED: October 18, 2018.
5	DATED: October 18, 2018.
6	EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	3