1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ZUKEYNA COPELAND,	No. 2:18-cv-01435-TLN-CKD
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER
13	v.	
14	CHALLENGE SECURITY SERVICES, INC.,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17		
18	Plaintiff is an individual proceeding <i>pro se</i> in this wage and hour action. The matter was	
19	referred to the magistrate judge pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21).	
20	On November 10, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein	
21	which were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings	
22	and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. No objections were filed.	
23	Accordingly, the Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v.	
24	United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are	
25	reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir.	
26	1983).	
27	The Court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing,	
28	concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the Proposed Findings and Recommendations in full.	
		1
27	The Court has reviewed the applicable	

1	Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that the Proposed Findings and Recommendations filed	
2	October 06, 2020, are ADOPTED IN FULL, and:	
3	1. Plaintiff's Complaint (ECF No. 1) is DISMISSED without prejudice; and	
4	2. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
6	DATED: December 9, 2020	
7		
8	my- tuntey	
9	Troy L. Nunley	
10	United States District Judge	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	