1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 MELVIN JOSEPH SIMMONS, JR., No. 2:18-cv-1489 CKD P 12 Plaintiff. 13 v. ORDER AND 14 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al., FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS 15 Defendants. 16 17 A recent court order was served on plaintiff's address of record and returned by the postal 18 service. It appears that plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 183(b), which requires that 19 a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change. More than sixty-20 three days have passed since the court order was returned by the postal service and plaintiff has 21 failed to notify the Court of a current address. 22 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court randomly assign this matter to a district court judge. 23 24 IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice for 25 failure to prosecute. <u>See</u> Local Rule 183(b). 26 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 27 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days 28 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections 1

Dockets.Justia.com

with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). arch U. Dela Dated: July 22, 2019 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 12/simm1489.33a