1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DMITRIY YEGOROV,	No. 2:18-cv-01558 KJM CKD (PS)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS
14	INTERPOL USA,	
15	Defendant.	
16		•
17	Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pr	o se. The matter was referred to a United States
18	Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 302(c).	
19		
20	On June 4, 2018 the undersigned issued an order to show cause no later than June 15,	
21	2018 why this action should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. Plaintiff was advised that	
22	failure to allege a proper basis for jurisdiction would result in a recommendation that this action	
23	be dismissed. The deadline has passed, and plaintiff has not responded to the order to show	
24	cause.	
25	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for lack of	
2627	jurisdiction.	
28	////	
20		

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

Dated: July 3, 2018

CAROLYN K. DELANEY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

arch U. Delan

2/ yegorov1731.osc_f&rs