I

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOSEPH KRECZ,	No. 2:18-cv-01585-JAM-CKD
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	GOOGLE INC.,	(ECF Nos. 31, 32.)
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	On January 4, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations (ECF	
18	No. 32), which were served on the parties. On January 11, 2021, plaintiff filed objections to the	
19	findings and recommendations (ECF No. 33), which have been considered by the court.	
20	This court reviews de novo those portions of the proposed findings of fact to which an	
21	objection has been made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore	
22	Business Machines, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th	Cir. 1981); see also Dawson v. Marshall, 561 F.3d
23	930, 932 (9th Cir. 2009). As to any portion of the proposed findings of fact to which no objection	
24	has been made, the court assumes its correctness and decides the matter on the applicable law.	
25	See Orand v. United States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's	
26	conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d	
27	452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).	
28	////	
		1

1	The court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing,	
2	concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the findings and recommendations in full. Accordingly,	
3	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
4	1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 32) are ADOPTED IN FULL;	
5	2. Plaintiff's motion to reopen this case (ECF No. 31) is DENIED; and	
6	3. The case remains Closed.	
7		
8		
9	DATED: January 28, 2021 /s/ John A. Mendez THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	