1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 2:18-cv-1631-JAM-EFB PS
12	Petitioner,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	BRIAN TORRANCE,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	On April 22, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which	
18	were served on the parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and	
19	recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Respondent filed objections on July 6,	
20	2020, and petitioner filed a response to the objections on July 21, 2020. Both filings were	
21	considered by the undersigned. <sup>1</sup>	
22	This court reviews de novo those portions of the proposed findings of fact to which	
23	objection has been made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore	
24	Business Machines, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982). As	
25	to any portion of the proposed findings of fact to which no objection has been made, the court	
26		
27	<sup>1</sup> Within his objections, respondent requests he be appointed counsel. ECF No. 44 at 16- 26. Because he has foiled to demonstrate that appointing counsel is appropriate that request is	
28	26. Because he has failed to demonstrate that appointing counsel is appropriate, that request is denied.	

1	assumes its correctness and decides the motions on the applicable law. See Orand v. United	
2	States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are	
3	reviewed de novo. See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified Sch. Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).	
4	The court has reviewed the applicable legal standards and, good cause appearing,	
5	concludes that it is appropriate to adopt the proposed Findings and Recommendations in full.	
6	Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that:	
7	1. The proposed Findings and Recommendations filed April 22, 2020, are adopted;	
8	2. Respondent's motion to dismiss this case (ECF No. 34) is denied; and	
9	3. Plaintiff's request for appointment of counsel (ECF No. 44 at 16-26) is denied.	
10		
11	DATED: July 29, 2020	
12	/s/ John A. Mendez	
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	