1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 ----00000----11 12 VICKI BLAKELY, STEVEN LAWSON, No. 2:18-cv-01647 WBS EFB CHRISTY MITCHELL, LESLIE 1.3 WILLIAMS, JAMES ROLLAND, JAYNELLIS SALINAS, KATHLEEN JONES, ANNIE BLUITT, SAMUEL 14 ORDER CARTER, and KEVIN GREIF, on 15 behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, 16 Plaintiffs, 17 v. 18 SANTANDER CONSUMER USA, INC., 19 Defendant. 20 2.1 ----00000----22 Before the court are the parties' filings dated August 23 12, 2019 and August 13, 2019. (Docket Nos. 18, 20.) The court 24 previously stayed this case, per the parties' agreement, pending 25 the Ninth Circuit's decision in the related case Lindblom v. 26 Santander Consumer USA, Inc., Case No. 1:15-cv-990 BAM. (Docket 27

No. 16.) That appeal concerned the requests by the plaintiffs in

28

1	this case to permissively intervene in the Lindblom case. The
2	Ninth Circuit has since affirmed the denial of those requests to
3	intervene, as explained by the parties in their recent filings.
4	However, Judge McAuliffe has not decided a motion for leave to
5	substitute as class representative or a motion for leave to
6	intervene as of right, both of which were filed after plaintiffs
7	in this case filed their notice of appeal in <u>Lindblom</u> . (Docket
8	Nos. 156 & 164 in Case No. 1:15-cv-990 BAM.) Because Judge
9	McAuliffe's decisions on those motions could affect proceedings
10	in this case, this case is further STAYED pending resolution of
11	those motions in $\underline{ ext{Lindblom}}$ . The parties shall file a joint status
12	report within 14 days after Judge McAuliffe has decided both
13	motions.
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.
15	Dated: August 14, 2019
16	/
17	william of shipter

WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE