PORTER SCOTT 1 A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION Stephen E. Horan, SBN 125241 2 William E. Camy, SBN 291397 350 University Avenue, Suite 200 3 Sacramento, California 95825 4 TEL: 916.929.1481 FAX: 916.927.3706 5 OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL 6 **COUNTY OF BUTTE** Bruce S. Alpert, SBN 075684 7 Brad J. Stephens, SBN 212246 25 County Center Drive 8 Oroville, CA 95965 TEL: (530) 538-7621 9 FAX: (530) 538-6891 10 Attorneys for Defendants COUNTY OF BUTTE, BUTTE COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE, and DEPUTY SHERIFF IAN DICKERSON 11 Exempt from Filing Fees Pursuant to Government Code § 6103 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 **EASTERN DISTRICT** 14 15 ESTATE OF TYLER S. RUSHING, SCOTT CASE NO.: 2:18-cv-01692-MCE-AC K. RUSHING, and PAULA L. RUSHING 16 **DEFENDANTS** COUNTY **OF** BUTTE, 17 Plaintiffs, BUTTE COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE, AND IAN DICKERSON'S REQUEST TO v. 18 **EXTEND PAGE** LIMIT FOR AG PRIVATE PROTECTION, INC., EDGAR **DEFENDANTS' MEMORANDUM** 19 SANCHEZ, CITY OF CHICO, CHICO POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT POLICE DEPARTMENT, SCOTT RUPPEL, **OF MOTION FOR** 20 **SUMMARY** CEDRIC JUDGMENT OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, SCHWYZER, ALEZ FLIEHR, 21 JEREMY GAGNEBIN, **COUNTY** OF **PARTIAL SUMMARY** JUDGMENT; BUTTE COUNTY SHERIFF'S **ORDER** BUTTE. 22 OFFICE, IAN DICKERSON, and DOES 1 to 23 20. Complaint filed: 06/08/18 24 Defendants. 25 26 27 28 {02100439.DOCX}

DEFENDANTS COUNTY OF BUTTE, BUTTE COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE, AND IAN DICKERSON'S REQUEST TO EXTEND PAGE LIMIT FOR DEFENDANTS' MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT; ORDER

PORTER | SCOTT

Sacramento, CA 95825 TEL: 916.929.1481 FAX: 916.927.3706 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Defendants COUNTY OF BUTTE, BUTTE COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE, and IAN DICKERSON ("County Defendants") respectfully request permission from this Court to file a Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment or, in the Alternative, Partial Summary Judgment in excess of the twenty-page limit as contained in the Court's Standing Orders.

In support of this request, County Defendants state that they have attempted in good faith to comply with the page limitation as set forth above, and have made every effort to eliminate extraneous arguments from their Memorandum, but it has become apparent that County Defendants cannot properly address each of the issues in its memorandum within the twenty-page limitation given the complex issues involved in this case, and that Plaintiff alleges eight claims for relief and numerous allegations in support of those claims.

WHEREFORE, County Defendants respectfully request that:

- 1. County Defendants be allowed to up to twenty-seven (27) pages for their Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment or, in the Alternative, Partial Summary Judgment.
 - 2. Any opposition to said motion may also be up to twenty-seven (27) pages in length; and
 - 3. Any reply shall not exceed fifteen (15) pages.

Dated: July 26, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

PORTER SCOTT

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

/s/ Stephen E. Horan

Stephen E. Horan

William E. Camy

Attorney for Defendants COUNTY OF BUTTE, BUTTE COUNTY SHERIFF'S

OFFICE, and IAN DICKERSON

28

{02100439.DOCX

2

PORTER | SCOTT 350 University Ave., Suite 200 Sacramento, CA 95825

TEL: 916.929.1481 FAX: 916.927.3706

GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. County Defendants be allowed to up to twenty-seven (27) pages for their Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment or, in the Alternative, Partial Summary Judgment.
 - 2. Any opposition to said motion may also be up to twenty-seven (27) pages in length; and
 - 3. Any reply shall not exceed fifteen (15) pages.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: November 13, 2019

MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

{02100439.DOCX}