| 1  |  |   |
|----|--|---|
| 2  |  |   |
| 3  |  |   |
| 4  |  |   |
| 5  |  |   |
| 6  |  |   |
| 7  |  |   |
| 8  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |   |
| 9  | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |   |
| 10 |  |   |
| 11 | ELIZABETH BALES,   | No. 2:18-cv-1714 JAM DB                             |
| 12 | Plaintiff,   |   |
| 13 | v.   | <u>ORDER</u>  |
| 14 | COUNTY OF EL DORADO,   |   |
| 15 | Defendant.   |   |
| 16 |  |   |
| 17 | On October 2, 2019, plaintiff filed a motion to compel and noticed the motion for hearing        |   |
| 18 | before the undersigned on October 25, 2019, pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(1). (ECF No. 33.)      |   |
| 19 | On October 18, 2019, the parties filed a joint statement re discovery dispute. (ECF No. 34.)     |   |
| 20 | As explained in the undersigned's Standard Information re discovery disputes set forth on        |   |
| 21 | the court's web page, joint statements filed before the undersigned shall not exceed twenty-five |   |
| 22 | pages, excluding exhibits. <sup>1</sup> See http://www.ca  | aed.uscourts.gov/caednew/index.cfm/judges/all-      |
| 23 | judges/united-states-magistrate-judge-deborah-barnes-db. Here, the parties' joint statement      |   |
| 24 | exceeds the undersigned's twenty-five page limit.  |   |
| 25 | ////   |   |
| 26 | ////   |   |
| 27 |  |   |
| 28 | toward the twenty-five-page limit.   | s of contents, tables of citations, etc., all count |

| 1  | Moreover, the undersigned's Standard Information also explains that parties must meet              |  |
|----|--|--|
| 2  | and confer prior to filing a discovery motion and "must again confer in person or via telephone or |  |
| 3  | video conferencing" prior to the filing of the joint statement. See                                |  |
| 4  | http://www.caed.uscourts.gov/caednew/index.cfm/judges/all-judges/united-states-magistrate-         |  |
| 5  | judge-deborah-barnes-db. Here, it appears that the parties' last meet and confer effort was a      |  |
| 6  | written communication sent on September 24, 2019. (ECF No. 34 at 2, 3.) However, the motion        |  |
| 7  | to compel was filed on October 2, 2019, and the joint statement was filed on October 18, 2019.     |  |
| 8  | (ECF Nos. 33 & 34.)  |  |
| 9  | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:  |  |
| 10 | 1. Plaintiff's October 2, 2019 motion to compel (ECF No. 33) is denied without prejudice           |  |
| 11 | to renewal; and  |  |
| 12 | 2. The October 25, 2019 hearing of plaintiff's motion to compel is vacated.                        |  |
| 13 | Dated: October 22, 2019  |  |
| 14 | 1 martin   |  |
| 15 | DEBORAH BARNES<br>UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE   |  |
| 16 |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |
| 23 | DLB:6  |  |
| 24 | DB\orders\orders.civil\bales1714.pg.limit.ord  |  |
| 25 |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |
|    | 2  |  |