

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 STEVEN CHINO GARCIA,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 GEORGE EVANS, Owner of Max  
15 Electricians,

16 Defendant.  
17

No. 2:18-cv-1760 TLN GGH

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

18 Plaintiff filed this breach of contract action pro se on June 19, 2018, ECF No. 1, along  
19 with a motion to proceed in forma pauperis. ECF No. 2. The magistrate judge to whom the  
20 matter was referred issued an Order on July 5, 2018, granting the in forma pauperis motion and  
21 dismissing the Complaint with leave to amend within 45 days of the date of the Order. ECF No.  
22 3. This Order was served on plaintiff's address of record on July 5, 2018 and the mail was  
23 returned as undeliverable by the postal service and unable to forward on August 6, 2018. It  
24 appears that plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 183(b), which requires that a party  
25 appearing in pro se inform the court of any address change. More than sixty-three days have  
26 passed since the court order was returned by the postal service and plaintiff has failed to notify  
27 the Court of a current address.

28 ///

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute. See Local Rule 183(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: November 5, 2018

/s/ Gregory G. Hollows  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE