| 1 | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | KARRICK M. GARBETT, | No. 2:18-CV-1793-KJM-DMC-P |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | |
| 13 | V. | <u>ORDER</u> |
| 14 | JENNIFER SCHAFFER, et al., | |
| 15 | Defendants. | |
| 16 | | I |
| 17 | Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, appeals the | |
| 18 | court's final judgment entered on September 30, 2019. The matter was referred to the | |
| 19 | undersigned by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals to certify whether in forma pauperis status | |
| 20 | should continue on appeal or whether the appeal is frivolous or taken in bad faith, in which case | |
| 21 | in forma pauperis status would be revoked. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3); see also Hooker v. | |
| 22 | American Airlines, 302 F.3d 1091, 1092 (9th Cir. 2002). Having reviewed the entire file, the | |
| 23 | court concludes in forma pauperis status should be revoked because the appeal is frivolous. | |
| 24 | /// | |
| 25 | /// | |
| 26 | /// | |
| 27 | /// | |
| 28 | /// | |

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's in forma pauperis status should be revoked; and
- 2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to serve a copy of this order on the Pro

DENNIS M. COTA

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Se Unit at the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

Dated: January 10, 2020