UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RAUL TRUJILLO,

Plaintiff,

v.

C. FICKES,

No. 2:18-cv-1805 AC P

ORDER AND FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

By order filed February 3, 2021, the complaint was screened and found to not state a claim for relief. ECF No. 7. Plaintiff was given thirty days to file an amended complaint and cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. <u>Id.</u> at 6. After plaintiff failed to file an amended complaint, he was given an additional twenty-one days to amend the complaint and warned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed without further warning. ECF No. 10. Twenty-one days have now passed, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to randomly assign a United States District Judge to this action.

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that the complaint be dismissed for failure to state a claim for the reasons set forth in the February 3, 2021Screening Order (ECF No. 7). See L.R.

110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

DATED: April 29, 2021

ALLISON CLAIRE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Muson Clane