1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 DARON MICHAEL OLIVER, No. 2:18-CV-1809-KJM-DMC 12 Plaintiff. 13 v. **ORDER** 14 DUANE SHELTON, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff, who is proceeding pro se, brings this civil action. The matter was 18 referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided by Eastern District of California local 19 rules. 20 On May 7, 2020, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations, which 21 were served on the parties and which contained notice that the parties may file objections within 22 the time specified therein. No objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed. 23 The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 24 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed 25 de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law 26 by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court 27"). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be 28 supported by the record and by the proper analysis. 1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed May 7, 2020, are adopted in full;
3	2. Defendants' unopposed motion to dismiss (ECF No. 43) is granted;
4	3. Plaintiff's requests for permanent injunctive relief are dismissed without
5	leave to amend;
6	4. Plaintiff's second amended complaint (ECF No. 36) is dismissed with
7	leave to amend as to his ADA claims;
8	5. Plaintiff shall file a third amended complaint within thirty (30) days of the
9	date of this order; and
10	6. This matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for all further
11	pretrial proceedings.
12	DATED: January 21, 2021.
13 14	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	