ľ

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	TIENGKHAM SINGANONH,	No. 2:18-cv-1824 KJM AC P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	R. FINE, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Defendant has filed a motion for clarification of the court's April 30, 2021 order	
18	modifying the discovery and scheduling order. ECF No. 50. The April 30, 2021 order provided	
19	defendant an opportunity to re-serve his written requests for discovery and extended the deadlines	
20	for filing motions to compel and dipositive motions. ECF No. 49. Defendant requests	
21	clarification as to whether his deadline to take plaintiff's deposition is also extended. ECF No. 50	
22	at 2. He explains that because his requests for discovery and correspondence were returned as	
23	undeliverable, he could not serve anything on plaintiff, including a notice of deposition, with	
24	confidence that it would be received. He contends that he would be prejudiced if he was unable	
25	to take plaintiff's deposition. Id. at 2.	
26	Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
27	1. Defendant's motion for clarification, ECF No. 50, is GRANTED.	
28	2. The extension of the discovery dea	dline includes defendant's deadline to take
		1

1	plaintiff's deposition and defendant shall have until July 6, 2021, to conduct plaintiff's deposition	
2	and file any necessary motions to compel related to the taking of plaintiff's deposition.	
3	DATED: May 10, 2021	
4	auson Clane	
5	ALLISON CLAIRE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	