Doc. 20 (HC)Dev v. Lizarraga 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 No. 2:18-cv-1972 KJM AC P AJAY KUMAR DEV, 12 Petitioner, 13 **ORDER** V. 14 JOE LIZARRAGA, Warden, 15 Respondent. 16 17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas 18 corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as 19 provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On August 10, 2018, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which 21 were served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any objections to the 22 findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. ECF19. Petitioner has not 23 filed objections to the findings and recommendations. The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602 24 25 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. 26 See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed 27 the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by

1

28

the proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations filed August 10, 2018, are adopted in full; 2. Petitioner's motion to stay and abey this action under *Rhines v. Weber*, 544 U.S. 269 (2005), ECF No. 2, is GRANTED; 3. Petitioner is directed to file in this court, within thirty (30) days after the filing date of the California Supreme Court's final order resolving petitioner's unexhausted claims, a motion to lift the stay and a motion to file an amended petition for writ of habeas corpus, together with a proposed First Amended Petition; and 4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to administratively close this case for the duration of the stay. DATED: September 12, 2018.