1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ARNOLD RAY ECKLUND,	No. 2:18-cv-1979 AC P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	DUNN, et al.,	RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief	
18	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to	
19	28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On October 6, 2021, plaintiff was ordered to file a completed in forma pauperis affidavit,	
21	and he was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be	
22	dismissed. See ECF No. 9. At that time, plaintiff was given thirty days within which to comply.	
23	See id. at 2. The thirty-day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed the required	
24	document, nor has he responded to the court's order in any way.	
25	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall randomly assign a	
26	District Court Judge to this case.	
27	IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED without prejudice.	
28	////	
		1

1	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
2	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty-one days	
3	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
4	with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings	
5	and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified	
6	time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153	
7	(9th Cir. 1991).	
8	DATED: November 12, 2021 augus Clane	
9	ALLISON CLAIRE	
10	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	