1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JASMINE MARIA VELASQUEZ,	No. 2:18-cv-1995 WBS KJN P
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	JANEL ESPINOZA, Warden,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this application for a writ of habeas	
18	corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate	
19	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On September 22, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein	
21	which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to	
22	the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Petitioner has filed	
23	objections to the findings and recommendations. Respondent did not file a reply.	
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this	
25	court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the	
26	court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper	
27	analysis.	
28	/////	
l		4

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:		
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed September 22, 2020, are adopted in full;		
3	2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is denied; and		
4	3. The court declines to issue the certificate of appealability referenced in 28 U.S.C.		
5	§ 2253.		
6	Dated: December 18, 2020	william & shubt	
7	,	WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
8		omine similes bisinder vebel	
9			
10			
11	/vela1995.805hc		
12			
13			
14	1.		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
2728			
40	'		