| 1  |  |                        |  |
|----|--|------------------------|--|
| 2  |  |                        |  |
| 3  |  |                        |  |
| 4  |  |                        |  |
| 5  |  |                        |  |
| 6  |  |                        |  |
| 7  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |                        |  |
| 8  | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |                        |  |
| 9  |  |                        |  |
| 10 | JOSH THOMAS,   | No. 2:18-cv-2068-EFB P |  |
| 11 | Plaintiff,   |                        |  |
| 12 | v.   | <u>ORDER</u>           |  |
| 13 | O'MAR, et al.,   |                        |  |
| 14 | Defendants.  |                        |  |
| 15 |  |                        |  |
| 16 | This case was opened pursuant to an order from the Fresno Division of this court. See                  |                        |  |
| 17 | Thomas v. Ogbehi, No. 1:15-cv-1059-LJO-BAM (E.D. Cal. July 30, 2018) (severing and                     |                        |  |
| 18 | transferring the claims alleged in plaintiff's third amended complaint that arose at the California    |                        |  |
| 19 | Medical Facility in Vacaville, California). However, to proceed plaintiff had to file a complaint      |                        |  |
| 20 | limited to the claims that arose at the California Medical Facility. But court records reflect that    |                        |  |
| 21 | plaintiff passed away on May 15, 2018. See Thomas v. Bick, No. 2:16-cv-1425-TLN-CKD (E.D.              |                        |  |
| 22 | Cal. June 7, 2018), ECF No. 37 ("Defendants' Notice of Death of Plaintiff Josh Thomas").               |                        |  |
| 23 | ////   |                        |  |
| 24 | /////  |                        |  |
| 25 | ////   |                        |  |
| 26 | /////  |                        |  |
| 27 | <sup>1</sup> A court may take judicial notice of court records. See MGIC Indem. Co. v. Weisman,        |                        |  |
| 28 | 803 F.2d 500, 505 (9th Cir. 1986); <i>United States v. Wilson</i> , 631 F.2d 118, 119 (9th Cir. 1980). |                        |  |
|    |  | 1                      |  |

| 1                               | Accordingly, the court will direct the Clerk of the Court to serve this order on plaintiff's               |  |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| 2                               | apparent successors in interest as identified in the Declaration of Service filed in <i>Thomas v. Bick</i> |  |
| 3                               | No. 2:16-cv-1425-TLN-CKD (E.D. Cal. June 12, 2018), ECF No. 39. <sup>2</sup>                               |  |
| 4                               | Accordingly, it hereby is ORDERED that:  |  |
| 5                               | 1. The Clerk of the Court shall send to plaintiff the form complaint used in this court.                   |  |
| 6                               | 2. Within 30 days of the date of this order, plaintiff shall submit a complaint limited to                 |  |
| 7                               | the claims that arose at the California Medical Facility. Failure to comply with this order may            |  |
| 8                               | result in a recommendation of dismissal.   |  |
| 9                               | 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to serve a copy of this order on the individuals liste               |  |
| 10                              | in the Declaration of Service filed in <i>Thomas v. Bick</i> , No. 2:16-cv-1425-TLN-CKD (E.D. Cal.         |  |
| 11                              | June 12, 2018), ECF No. 39.  |  |
| 12                              | DATED: October 15, 2018.   |  |
| 13                              | ZEĎMUND F. BRÉNNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  |  |
| 14                              |  |  |
| 15                              |  |  |
| 16                              |  |  |
| 17                              |  |  |
| 18                              |  |  |
| 19                              |  |  |
| 20                              |  |  |
| 21                              |  |  |
| 22                              |  |  |
| 23                              |  |  |
| 24                              |  |  |
| 25                              |  |  |
| <ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul> | <sup>2</sup> If a claim accrues before a plaintiff's death, and where California law authorizes a          |  |
| <i>- 1</i>                      | in a claim accracs octore a plaintiff 5 death, and where Camornia law authorizes a                         |  |

survival action, a successor can maintain a § 1983 action. *Tatum v. City & Cty. of San Francisco*, 441 F.3d 1090, 1100 (9th Cir. 2006) (citing 42 U.S.C. § 1988 and Cal. Civ. P. Code § 377.30).