

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
MICHAEL J. LONG,  
Defendant.

No. 2:18-CV-02162 CKD P

ORDER & FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS

By an order filed September 4, 2018, plaintiff was ordered to pay the filing fee within fourteen days and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The fourteen day period has now expired, and plaintiff has failed to comply with the court’s order, although he has filed a litany of “notices” and objections that do not address the fee issue. ECF Nos. 6-12.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court randomly assign a district court judge to this case.

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written

1 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned  
2 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Any response to the  
3 objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The  
4 parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to  
5 appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

6 Dated: October 15, 2018



7  
8 CAROLYN K. DELANEY  
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10  
11  
12  
13 12/boni2162.fifp.docx  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28