

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PATRICK CHRISTOPHER DICKENS,
Plaintiff,
v.
CITY OF VACAVILLE, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:18-cv-02233 JAM CKD (PS)

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

By order filed October 10, 2018 plaintiff’s complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty-day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint. On November 7, 2018, plaintiff was advised that he could seek a reasonable extension of time to amend, if he so wished; he has not responded to this order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Failure to file objections

///

1 within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v.
2 Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

3 Dated: November 20, 2018



CAROLYN K. DELANEY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

4
5
6
7
8
9 2/dickens2233.fta

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28