1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA, No. 2: 18-cv-2260 KJN P 12 Plaintiff. ORDER AND FINDINGS AND 13 RECOMMENDATIONS v. 14 TROY L. NUNLEY, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 By an order filed August 28, 2018, plaintiff was granted thirty days to file a completed in 18 forma pauperis affidavit and a certified copy of his prison trust account statement, and was 19 cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. 20 The thirty days period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed the required documents. 21 On September 13, 2018 plaintiff filed a motion to compel and a motion for issuance of an 22 order to show cause. These motions do not respond to the order directing plaintiff to file an in forma pauperis application. 23 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is 24 25 directed to assign a district judge to this case; and 26 IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. 27 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge

assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days

28

after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: October 12, 2018 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Bon2260.fifp