

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PAUL KENNETH SHREEMAN,
Plaintiff,
v.
MARK DAVID CUDNEY,
Defendant.

No. 2:18-cv-02329-TLN-EFB

ORDER

Plaintiff Paul Kenneth Shreeman (“Plaintiff”), proceeding pro se, brings the instant action. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On March 31, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations which were served on Plaintiff and which contained notice to Plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. (ECF No. 5.) Plaintiff has not filed any objections to the findings and recommendations.

Accordingly, the Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See *Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. See *Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983); see also 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


Having reviewed the file under the applicable legal standards, the Court finds the Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The Findings and Recommendations filed March 31, 2020 (ECF No. 5), are adopted in full;
2. This action is DISMISSED, without prejudice, for failure to state a claim as set forth in the February 6, 2020 Order (ECF No. 4); and
3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close the case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 27, 2020



Troy L. Nunley
United States District Judge