## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 MICHAEL L. OVERTON, No. 2:18-cv-2421 CKD P 12 Plaintiff. 13 v. ORDER AND 14 CA HEALTH CARE FACILITY FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS WARDEN, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 On September 10, 2018, plaintiff was ordered to submit a completed application to 18 proceed in forma pauperis or pay the filing fee within thirty days. Plaintiff was cautioned that 19 failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty-day 20 period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court's order. 21 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court assign a district 22 court judge to this case; and IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See 23 24 Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 25 26 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days 27 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written

objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned

28

"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: October 22, 2018 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE over2421.fifp