

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
TULARE COUNTY, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 2:18-cv-2552-KJM-EFB P

ORDER

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. He has filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.<sup>1</sup>

The federal venue statute provides that a civil action “may be brought in (1) a judicial district in which any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which the district is located, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) if there is no district in which an action may otherwise be brought as provided in

---

<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, the October 31, 2018 findings and recommendations to dismiss this action for plaintiff’s failure to pay the filing fee or seek leave to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF No. 20) are vacated.

1 this action, any judicial district in which any defendant is subject to the court’s personal  
2 jurisdiction with respect to such action.” 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

3 In this case, the defendant – identified as Tulare County – is located in the Fresno division  
4 of this district. However, it is evident from the complaint and its attachments that plaintiff is  
5 attempting to challenge the judgment of conviction imposed upon him by the Alameda County  
6 Superior Court, which lies in the Northern District of California. Therefore, the court finds that  
7 the convenience of the parties and witnesses and the interests of justice are better served by  
8 transferring this action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

9 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 10 1. The October 31, 2018 findings and recommendations (ECF No. 20) are vacated; and
- 11 2. This matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern District  
12 of California. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a).

13 Dated: December 19, 2018.

14   
15 EDMUND F. BRENNAN  
16 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28