

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHALLA ALFARO BRITTANY,
Plaintiff,
v.
SACRAMENTO POLICE
DEPARTMENT, et al.
Defendants.

No. 2:18-cv-2627-KJM-KJN PS

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

On November 7, 2018, the court denied plaintiff’s motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*, and directed plaintiff to pay the applicable filing fee within 28 days. (ECF No. 3.) Plaintiff was expressly cautioned that failure to timely pay the filing fee, or timely request an extension of time to do so, may result in dismissal of the action. (Id.)


Although the applicable deadline has now passed, plaintiff neither paid the filing fee nor requested an extension of time to do so based on any articulated cause. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:

1. The action be dismissed without prejudice.
2. The Clerk of Court be directed to close this case.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen (14)

1 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
2 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
3 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Any reply to the objections
4 shall be served on all parties and filed with the court within fourteen (14) days after service of the
5 objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may
6 waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th
7 Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153, 1156-57 (9th Cir. 1991).

8 Dated: December 14, 2018

9
10 
11 _____
12 KENDALL J. NEWMAN
13 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28