

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DIANA LEE WALLACH LORRETZ,
Plaintiffs,
v.
CALIFORNIA@GALoan45D002, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:18-cv-02666 JAM CKD (PS)

ORDER AND
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 302(c).

On October 3, 2018, the undersigned issued an order to show cause no later than October 17, 2018 why this action should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction. Plaintiff was advised that failure to allege a proper basis for jurisdiction would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The deadline has passed, and while plaintiff has filed numerous motions, she has not shown cause why this action should not be dismissed.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that all pending motions (ECF Nos. 3, 5, 6, 8 & 9) are denied, as the court lacks jurisdiction over this matter.

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

1 In light of these recommendations, IT IS ALSO HEREBY ORDERED that all pleading,
2 discovery, and motion practice in this action are STAYED pending resolution of the findings and
3 recommendations. With the exception of objections to the findings and recommendations and
4 any non-frivolous motions for emergency relief, the court will not entertain or respond to any
5 motions and other filings until the findings and recommendations are resolved.

6 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
7 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days
8 after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections
9 with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
10 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that
11 failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District
12 Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

13 Dated: October 22, 2018

14 
15 _____
16 CAROLYN K. DELANEY
17 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

18
19 2/lorretz2666.f&rs_nojuris
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28