1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	NATHAN VARGAS,	No. 2: 18-cv-2774 KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	THOMAS BANASURIE,	RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	By an order filed January 4, 2019, this court ordered plaintiff to complete and return to the	
18	court, within sixty days, the USM-285 form necessary to effect service on defendant Banasurie.	
19	That sixty days period has since passed, and plaintiff has not responded in any way to the court's	
20	order.	
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall appoint a	
22	district judge to this action; and	
23	IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See	
24	Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
25	These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge	
26	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
27	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
28	with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Findings and	
		1

1	Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time	
2	may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th	
3	Cir. 1991).	
4	Dated: April 11, 2019	
5	Ferdal P. Newman	
6	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
7		
8	Var2774.fusm	
9	vai 2774.Tusiii	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		