

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RONALD LYNN STAGGS,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
P. KELLY, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 2:18-cv-2843 KJN P

ORDER and FINDINGS &  
RECOMMENDATIONS

By order filed August 27, 2019, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. On October 10, 2019, plaintiff was granted an additional 45 days in which to file an amended complaint. Forty-five days from that date have now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall assign a district judge to this action.

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections

1 with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings  
2 and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified  
3 time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153  
4 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 Dated: December 12, 2019

6

7

/stag2843.fta.ext

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23


24

25

26

27

28

  
KENDALL J. NEWMAN  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE